	Case 3:06-cv-00282-LRH-VPC Document 55 Filed 12/06/07 Page 1 of 1		
1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	8 ****		
9	9 RICHARD DEEDS,) 3:06-cv-00282-LRH-VPC		
10	Plaintiff,) ORDER		
11	11 vs.		
12	12 JIM BENEDETTI, et al.,		
13	Defendants.		
14	14		
15	Before the court is Plaintiff's Objections to Order of U.S. Magistrate Judge (#45¹), which the		
16	court will treat as a motion for review of Magistrate Judge's order. Defendants have responded to		
17	Plaintiff's Objections to Order of U.S. Magistrate Judge (#47).		
18	The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the objections of the		
19	Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant		
20	to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) and Local Rule IB 3-1, and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling		
21	is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.		
22	The Magistrate Judge's Order (#43) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff's motion (#45)		
23	will be denied.		
24	24 IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25	DATED this 5 th day of December, 2007.		
26	26		
27	27 LARRY R. HICKS		
28	28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT J	JDGE	

¹Refers to this court's docket number.